IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Misc. Civil Application (Review) No.525 of 2007.

IN

Writ Petition No.2625 of 1990 (Decided)

[State of Maharashtra and another]
Vs.
[Dr. Ku. Vasudha Madhaorao Deshmukh and 3 Ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

Shri A.D. Sonak AGP for the petitioner for the applicants.
Shri S. S. Sanyal & S. M. Ukey, Advocate for R.1 & 2.
Respondents no.3 & 4 served.

Coram: A. H. JOSHI AND
R. C. CHAVAN, JJ.
Dated : 4th September 2007.

1. Application for review is based on two points namely:-

(a) The copy of the Government Resolutions dated 8-8-1985 and 4-6-1984 could not be brought to the notice of this Court.

(b) Apart that, nothing precluded the Government from bringing these Government Resolutions to the notice of

the Court, we find, on perusal of these Government Resolutions, that there is nothing contained in these Government Resolutions contrary to what was urged, and what has been directed by the Court. On the other hand, para (d) of the Government Resolution dated 8-8-1985 clarifies that BAMS candidates holding BAMS degree could be appointed on the post of Medical Officer where the candidates having MBBS degree are not available by following certain procedure.

2. We also find that these Government Resolutions now sought to be relied upon do not lay down any separate pay scale for candidates holding BAMS degree, and separate scale for those holding MBBS degree.

3. In this background, we find that no case is made out for review.

4. Review application is thus misconceived, and is rejected.

JUDGE **JUDGE**

Sbm